



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/599,847	09/21/2007	Matthias Beyer	RPB0001	5465
832 7590 02/08/2012 FAEGRE BAKER DANIELS LLP 111 E. WAYNE STREET SUITE 800 FORT WAYNE, IN 46802			EXAMINER HWU, DAVIS D	
			ART UNIT 3752	PAPER NUMBER
			NOTIFICATION DATE 02/08/2012	DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

intear@faegrebd.com
mickie.potter@faegrebd.com
michelle.davis@faegrebd.com

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/599,847

Applicant(s)

BEYER ET AL.

Examiner

Davis Hwu

Art Unit

3752

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 January 2012.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ An election was made by the applicant in response to a restriction requirement set forth during the interview on ____; the restriction requirement and election have been incorporated into this action.
- 4) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 20-35 is/are pending in the application.
- 5a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 20,22-28 and 30-35 is/are rejected.
- 8) ☒ Claim(s) 21 and 29 is/are objected to.
- 9) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 10) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 11) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____. |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____. | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: ____. |

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's amendment and arguments of January 31, 2012 have been entered.
2. Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. Claims 20, 22-28, and 30-35 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suhring et al. in view of Drouillard.

Suhring et al. disclose in combination: a motor vehicle having a housing 3, the housing including a first opening providing access to an interior of the housing; a spray nozzle body 7 disposed in the housing, the nozzle body 7 having a bearing axis about which the nozzle body is rotatable; and an adjusting drive manipulator 11 to rotate the nozzle body about the bearing axis, the manipulator 11 including a threaded spindle and a manually rotatable handwheel (embodiment of Fig. 5c). Suhring et al. do not disclose the threaded spindle being disposed within the interior of the housing, however, it would have been a matter of design choice to have lengthened manipulator 11 so that the threaded portion extends into the housing since the device will still function properly with such a arrangement in which a second opening as recited in claim 27 will be formed with such an arrangement. Suhring et al. also do not disclose the nozzle body disposed substantially entirely within the interior of the housing or the spray nozzle outlet being aligned with the first opening. Drouillard teaches a combination of a motor vehicle

Art Unit: 3752

having a housing 12 including: a first opening providing access to an interior of the housing; a spray nozzle body disposed substantially entirely within the interior of the housing; in which the spray nozzle body has an outlet aligned with the first opening. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the device of Suhring et al. by disposing the spray nozzle body substantially entirely within the interior of the housing and making the spray nozzle outlet to be aligned with the first opening as taught by Drouillard since the combination will still function properly with such arrangements. Regarding claim 22, the mounting by snap-fit engagement would have been matters of design choice since Suhring et al. has already taught snap-fitting the nozzle holder 2 to the vehicle (column 2, lines 64-67). The snap-lock webs as recited in claim 23 would also have been a matter of design choice. Regarding claim 28, the use of a pair of pivot pins to define a bearing axis would have been a matter of design choice since the spray nozzle body will still rotate with such a modification.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 21 and 29 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Art Unit: 3752

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Davis Hwu whose telephone number is (571)272-4904. The examiner's supervisor, Len Tran can be reached on (571)272-1184. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

/Davis Hwu/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3752